

Alan Rohrbach <ar.rohr.intl@gmail.com>

## **ROHR ALERT!! The J&J Show Continues**

1 message

ROHR Alert <rohralert@gmail.com> Bcc: ar.rohr.intl@gmail.com

Wed, Mar 24, 2021 at 10:40 AM

## Dear Subscribers,

That's right, the Jay and Janet Show is rolling on again today into their US House Financial Services Committee follow on to yesterday's Senate equivalent. And it was a given the message would be the same, "Strong 2021 growth, yet with lower economic sectors still suffering, meaning we need low base rates forever, and (most tellingly) there will be inflation, yet it will only be transitory." Is anyone else hearing a mixed message here? As noted in Tuesday's 'Powell-O-Rama' ALERT!!, this is not just about the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act testimony, but also spending to follow (multi-trillion dollar infrastructure plan.)

While the Fed is supposed to be leading the fight against inflation, that notion is a relic of a bygone era. As also explored in Tuesday's ALERT!! (repeated below for your convenience), for quite some time the Fed has become a follower instead of a leader on interest rates and inflation. That is required in part by the need to support government spending via its massive balance sheet expansion. It is the case that ever since Paul Volcker retired as Fed Chair in August 1987 (a very interesting historic window), there has been a different psychology there.

In general that has evolved into the proverbial Fed Chair of the moment 'put', as in a protection against downside US equities risk via market participant reliance on a 'friendly Fed'. So it went from the 'Greenspan Put' into the 'Bernanke Put' and the 'Yellen Put' into finally an assumed 'Powell Put'... even if the latter did not work so well in countering something as destructive as a major global pandemic. It is now the case however that the combined Powell and Yellen focus is on the need to extend monetary and fiscal accommodation to rescue the major lower end of the 'gathering' economy (dining, small retail, etc.) regardless of cost.

Just to be clear, we are also in favor of assisting that segment of the economy which employs so many lower income workers who have been most heavily damaged by the COVID-19 pandemic. Yet to try and do this through the massive government spending is also fostering more excess layouts among the higher earning folks who may have not experienced any negative pandemic impact.

This has been attempted previous coming out of an era of controlled inflation, yet with the goal of fostering economic expansion taking precedence over inflation control having a negative outcome. In a post-mortem on Fed Chair G. William Miller's tenure (https://bit.ly/3faUOaP March 1978 - August 1979), it was noted that the FOMC was aware that inflation was worsening, yet felt the negative impact of actually raising interest rates would be too great on lower income individuals.

[Especially note the WIKI comment at the end of Miller's Fed Chair section, and the mention that as of the WIKI posting (now dated) he was the 'only' person ever to serve as both Fed Chair and Treasury Secretary. Well, not anymore.]

So we have indeed been here before, even if the 1970s inflation was already a concern that does not exist at present. Yet the question is going to be how high inflation will be allowed to run at present in pursuit of re-employing the lower income individuals who are indeed in need of assistance. Yet the lesson from the 1970s is that ultimately the inflation will hurt those individuals more than any marginal increase in employment. Increases in the price of bread, milk and meat are always going to have more of an impact on those folks than the well-to-do.

Yet for now the J&J Show will roll on with expansive perspectives that will likely buoy US equities in the same manner as last Wednesday's FOMC announcements and Chair Powell's press conference... until they didn't (see Monday's 'Anatomy of a Failure Swing' ALERT!! for much more definitive exploration of that.) It is also the case that the "strong growth with only transitory inflation" mantra will be very actively repeated through Thursday. That is on the extensive level of Fed-speak beyond the J&J Show through tomorrow. Beginning early Thursday morning into lunchtime we hear from (in order along with other central bank's folks weighing in) Williams, Clarida, Williams again, Bostic, Evans, and Daly at dinnertime.

Our classic caution is to wait up to 24 hours after Fed influence to see whether any central bank impact is durable or fleeting. As such, we may need to wait until Friday to determine whether all of this accommodative talk continues to assist US equities (along with global govvies and restores strength to non-US currencies.) This all gets frustratingly back to a US baseball metaphor advanced in Monday's 'Anatomy of a Failure Swing' ALERT!! need for patience. Here again, as the late New York baseball player and manager Yogi Berra once noted on a quirk of the game, "It ain't over 'til it's over." Sometimes the markets are also that way.

Courtesy Repeat of Tuesday's 'Powell-O-Rama' ALERT!!

Is it just us, or does it seem that Fed Chair Powell is all over lately like... well, you know what. There will be testimony on the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the official name for Biden's ARP legislation) at the Senate Banking Committee today and House Financial Services Committee tomorrow, and that is after his post-FOMC rate decision and projections press conference Wednesday.

And we can expect more of the same confident assertions on strong economic growth with only the most temporary inflation. He will be backed up over the next two days by former Fed Chair and current Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen. Yet it is the case that the Fed Chair and also FOMC at large are allowing that inflation is going to run above its 2.0% target for an extended period of time. Sound like a bit of a contradiction? Well, as long-time observers of the Fed we can tell you there are significant periods when the Fed is not only capable of legerdemain... it is its major stock in trade when trying to finesse the rates versus economic leadership.

How many times did Alan Greenspan refuse to hike rates during a strong growth phase, and also leave them stubbornly high in an economy which was obviously already suffering? The answer: more often than not. Why would the Fed that is supposed to lead the economy with preemptive rate adjustments leave them on hold under such obvious conditions? That is due to the Fed learning the lesson of letting the bond market do its work for it, especially in the modern era.

That is relevant for the highly accommodative policies that have included the Fed allowing its balance sheet to balloon by Brobdingnagian proportions as a means of stimulating borrowing and lending into weak economies. It means that the Fed is now rightfully

concerned about signaling any policy change prior to the bond market already having prepared the markets for a change. While it was the most logical and incremental policy only projected in a forward view, former Fed Chair Bernanke's May 2013 testimony the Fed would reduce its bond purchases caused an immediate sharp upsurge in longer-term rates and US equities selloff.

That was a key consequence of the Fed being viewed as the savior of the US equities and economy, with concerns over it seeming to abandon accommodation too soon for many folks. Since then it has been back to a much more classical Greenspanesque approach of letting the bond market ease or tighten conditions, with the allegedly market leading Fed coming in with after-the-fact confirmation.

The problem at present is that the Fed signaling such a sanguine inflation view of late has not been good for actually keeping interest rates down at the longer end of the yield curve... in fact, quite the opposite. As noted as far back as a month ago (February 23rd 'Not a Powell Put'), the Fed seeming to want to ignore the inflation rise due to its need to reinvigorate the still suffering parts of the US economy has naturally fomented a sustained rise in longer-term yields.

Also back in that 'Not a Powell Put' ALERT!! we explored the meaning of a Fed which is committed to letting inflation run above 2.0% on the 10-year Treasury yield (still back well below 1.50% at that time.) Of course, the higher yields since that time have reinforced our view that a sanguine Fed continuing to push the position that inflation is not a problem will also continue to create concerns for the longer-term global govvies regardless of how adamant the Fed might be.

Yet even the anticipation of the additional Powell testimony and Yellen support has created a 'bifurcated' market once again. Yet as noted in Monday's 'Anatomy of a Failure Swing' ALERT!!, the Fed encouragement last Wednesday classically wore off after not more than 24 hours (literally into lunchtime Thursday, see below for much more.) Yet the bifurcation has been apparent in the US equities recovery rally being in conjunction with a really in global govvies, likely influenced by the anticipation of more sanguine inflation indications from a Fed that is however still signaling it expects it to see some sustained indications above 2.0%.

However, it is important to note the Evolutionary Trend View (ETV) in the various asset classes to consider what might transpire after Powell and Yellen testimony and Q&A are finished into approximately 15:00 EDT Wednesday. The friendly encouragement for the global govvies has so far only left them rallying back to key resistance areas (i.e. failed significant support levels.) For the June T-note (front month since Monday) that is the major weekly chart 132-00 congestion.

The June T-note has only recovered to test that exact area at present. In its early month expiration rollover major European sister June Bund future had gapped below (discounted price expiration displacement) the important 173.00-172.50 congestion, and ranged right down toward the next 170.50-169.75 support area prior to recovering back up not quite to the 172.50 area. This sets up a real test for the global govvies in the wake of whatever Powell and Yellen have to say. Whatever else transpires, we can bet they will be asked about not just the inflationary impact of Biden's ARP, but also major future spending plans.

As far as the US equities trend, Monday's 'Anatomy of a Failure Swing' ALERT!! reviewed all of the lack of response to 'friendly Fed influence' after Wednesday's FOMC announcements and Chair Powell's press conference. That incremental view of how it all

evolved is repeated below as a courtesy to anyone who missed it on Monday. The key is the fresh 3,942 DOWN Closing Price Reversal (CPR) which was barely retested on Monday's rally. Yet it is also important that the Tolerance of that DOWN CPR is the previous week's high, which is 3,958.50, right near 3,960 again that had been such a struggle for the previous two weeks.

The other 'risk on' psychology indication from foreign exchange is holding up OK at present, yet also showing some signs of stress. In the developed currencies the US Dollar Index is pushing back above its own weekly 91.98 DOWN CPR from two weeks ago after only sagging to the mid-91.00 area. While stalling into the historic 92.30 area back then, any Close above that previous current rally high would Negate the DOWN signal even if the next resistance is in the 92.75 area.

That is a sign there is concern over the global economy, and possibly even more so the current reimposition of COVID-19 restrictions in Europe just as calendar concerns (i.e. Spring Break looseness and Easter travel) also spread in the US. While giving back a modest degree of recent gains, emerging currencies have held up well so far (outside of central bank psychology challenged Turkish lira.)

However, any sign recently (some would say prematurely) removed US COVID-19 suppression protocols need to be reimposed could send a chill through non-US currencies along with the US equities. That said, this would potentially also be a bit of a near-term fillip for the recently suffering global govvies. We shall see.

Courtesy Repeat of Tuesday's critical consideration Aside from the sheer magnitude of the selloff in the first week of September, it was also a technical pattern top. That is clear on the front month S&P 500 future weekly chart https://bit.ly/3f37L6s (updated through Friday.) Such a significant rally above the previous week's 3,504.50 Close and drop well below it established a major DOWN Closing Price

Reversal (CPR) with a 3,510 Tolerance.

The next significant support after it traded below the February 3,397.50 previous all-time high looked like the 3,230-00 range we had previous highlighted as rally resistance into early June. After that held once again, the recent surge back above the 3,400-30 area left a burden of proof on the bears to get the market to fail back below that area. Yet instead the December S&P 500 future posting weekly Closes above first 3,505-10 and ultimately the 3,550 area was indeed again 'Risk On' Forever. This is confirmation of our estimation the US election would be a win-win for US equities, with the key accelerated bullish influence from the serial positive vaccine announcements since early November.

The near-term question was whether it could hold support at the early-September 3,587 trading high and 3.582 early November Close, with a Tolerance to the 3.575 congestion? Even though it slid below them in early-mid November on US election concerns, those issues clearing up reinstated the 'risk on' psychology.

Above that range since late November left minor congestion resistance in the 3,625-35 range. Also above that pointed to the recent 3,668 all-time high that was exceeded into the beginning of December with a 3,700 new all-time high. While it traded slightly above that into early December, the lack of a Trump signature on the COVID-19 relief package sent it back down to a very temporary late-December test of the 3,600 area. Finally more fully out above the low 3,700 area on a belated 'Santa Claus Rally' saw it up near and ultimately above the 3,750-3,800 resistance.

On recent form, the March S&P 500 future stalled into the old high, and then US equities struggled to sustain activity above the 3,959.25 previous all-time high by more than \$10 (both a natural rule of thumb and key weekly Oscillator level) through all of last week. This was the further indication that Negation of the 3,931-35 dual resistance might be more of a 'failure swing' than full bullish signal.

There was even atypical weakness into the FOMC announcements and Chair Powell's press conference last Wednesday (14:00 EDT and after.) In fact, it was only on revised economic projections that the March S&P 500 future pushed back above the old 3,960 area high, further encouraged by Powell's statement that US growth was likely to be very strong and any inflation would be very transitory. That not only caused a further push higher during Regular Trading Hours, but fomented a new March contract overnight 3,989 all-time trading high that evening.

Yet then various factors conspired to drop it back into the 3,960 area Thursday. Might that have been the renewed weakness of global govvies to new near-term trading lows (higher vields) after they bounced on the Powell inflation perspective on Wednesday? Or was it the renewed COVID-19 pandemic spread risk we had noted for weeks in the wake of guite a few US states lifting pandemic suppression measures (very likely prematurely)? The latter was reinforced by the return to more draconian measures in Europe that had reopened too early as well as the press coverage of the increasing US Spring Break mayhem into late last week.

In any event, the June S&P 500 future (front month as of Friday) held not too much worse than 3,960 area through Thursday morning, and temporarily spiked back up to it into lunchtime. However, by right after lunch it fell sharply back not just from that area, but also well below the previous week's 3,942 weekly Close. [Please see the fully annotated shortterm June S&P 500 future chart into very early Monday morning trading (https://bit.ly/3IDwdfW in fact Sunday evening.]

That was important insofar as it established a 3,942 weekly DOWN Closing Price Reversal (CPR.) Of note that has a Tolerance at the previous week's high, most interestingly 3,958.50, right near 3,960 again. Finishing Friday under pressure into a 3,900 weekly Close (which was \$42 lower on the week) left a more prominent DOWN CPR from 3,942 level. Along with its Tolerance to the 3,960 area, it is now once again a significant stumbling block to any bull trend extension, and full resumption of 'risk on' psychology that will become a problem if it continues.

Thanks for your interest.

NOTICE: The Rohr International, Inc. research team or its principals may already have entered positions or have orders working based on this view.

This Current ROHR TREND ALERT!! will be available soon via the sidebar at www.rohrblog.com for Gold and Platinum echelon subscribers.

Please reply 'Unsubscribe' if you no longer wish to receive these emails.

Contact: rohralert@gmail.com

This review of market positions and all other information is strictly for educational purposes. This information is provided without consideration of portfolio requirements, suitability for financial risk, or psychological state of any recipient. Any use of this information to implement actual trades or investments is the sole responsibility of the individual or entity authorizing that decision. This waives your right to any claim of explicit or incidental liability for financial loss or forgone profit against Rohr International, Inc. and any informational contributors under all circumstances. Information contained herein may have already been disseminated to others who may have acted upon it. Implicit in the Rohr educational services is the

understanding that principals or employees of Rohr may have already taken positions. By review of the Rohr Alerts and/or Rohr Views and all attendant information you confirm receipt of them as educational content, as well as agreement with all of the stipulations articulated above.

A service of Rohr International, Inc.

© 2021 All international rights reserved. Redistribution strictly prohibited without written consent